December 16, 2003

Mr. D. Craig Wood Langley & Banack, Inc. 745 East Mulberry, Suite 900 San Antonio, Texas 78212-3166

OR2003-9079

Dear Mr. Wood:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 192872.

The Alamo Community College District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for "all information, including proposals, schedules, subcontractor/supplier lists, qualification statements, interview notes, discussion notes, the alternates that were accepted, and all other information used in the selection of the General Contractors for the Multi-Campus Construction Projects, CSP No. 03C-096." You indicate that the district will make some responsive information available for inspection by the requestor. You claim, however, that the information submitted for our review is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

As a preliminary matter, we note that the submitted documents include information that is subject to required public disclosure under section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides in relevant part:

(a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(5) all working papers, research material, and information used to estimate the need for or expenditure of public funds or taxes by a governmental body, on completion of the estimate[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(5). The submitted documents include working papers and information relating to a completed estimate of the need for or expenditure of public funds. As prescribed by section 552.022, such information must be released upon completion of the estimate unless it is confidential under other law. Section 552.111 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and is therefore not other law that makes information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.022(a). See Open Records Decision No. 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.111); see also Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Thus, the information in the submitted documents that is subject to section 552.022(a)(5) may not be withheld pursuant to section 552.111 of the Government Code.

We next address your claim under section 552.111 with respect to information that is not subject to required disclosure under section 552.022(a)(5). Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." In Open Records Decision No. 615 (1993), this office reexamined the predecessor to the section 552.111 exception in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ), and held that section 552.111 excepts only those internal communications consisting of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the deliberative or policymaking processes of the governmental body. Open Records Decision No. 615 at 5-6 (1993).

An agency's policymaking functions, however, do not encompass internal administrative or personnel matters; disclosure of information relating to such matters will not inhibit free discussion among agency personnel as to policy issues. See City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (holding that internal communication relating to personnel matter did not bear upon governmental body's policymaking deliberations and consequently was not excepted under section 552.111); see also Open Records Decision No. 615 at 5-6 (1993). Additionally, section 552.111 does not generally except from disclosure purely factual information that is severable from the opinion portions of internal memoranda. See Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Texas Atty. Gen., 37 S.W.3d 152, 160 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 615 at 4-5. You state that the submitted documents reflect the "advice, opinions or recommendations which played a role in the District's decisional process" regarding the evaluation of a construction bid proposal. Upon review of your comments and the submitted information, however, we find you have not established that the submitted documents relate to the deliberative process of the district concerning a matter of policy. Rather, we find that the submitted information relates solely to an administrative matter. See City of Garland, 22 S.W.3d at 364. Consequently, we determine that the remaining submitted information is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code and may not be withheld on that basis.

In summary, the district must release the submitted information to the requestor in its entirety.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

David R. Saldivar

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

DRS/seg

Ref: ID# 192872

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. J. Clayton Kennedy

Mr. Bruce Nichols

Kencon Constructors/Construction Managers, Ltd.

4823 Whirlwind

San Antonio, Texas 78217

(w/o enclosures)